

Setting Global Research Priorities for Private Sector Child Health Service Delivery: Results from a CHNRI Exercise

Clarence et al. (2020)
<https://doi.org/10.7189/jogh.10.021201>

For which topic were research priorities identified?

child health service delivery

In which location was the research priority setting conducted?

international

Why was it conducted at all?

The private health sector is an important source of sick child care, yet evidence gaps persist in best practices for integrated management of private sector child health services. Further, there is no prioritized research agenda to address these gaps.

What was the objective?

to identify priority research questions for child health service delivery

What was the outcome?

a ranking list of 10 research questions

How long did the research prioritization take?

No information provided.

Which methods were used to identify research priorities?

CHNRI approach

How were the priorities for research identified exactly?

Step 1: survey 1: collecting research questions: participants asked to generate research questions, nearly 150 ideas for priority research questions submitted. Step 2: data processing: consolidating and refining list, resulting in final list of 50 questions. Step 3: survey 2: participants asked to rate each questions against 11 pre-determined criteria in four categories, all 11 criteria were determined to be of equal importance and weighted equally

Which stakeholders took part?

Experts representing academia, implementing partners, donors, bilateral organizations, national and subnational Ministry of Health representatives. 89 participants overall: survey 1: 38 participants, survey 2: 49 participants.

How were stakeholders recruited?

purposive and snowball sampling: Experts were drawn from the core research team's global professional networks, referrals from individuals in these networks, members of the Private Sector Engagement and Implementation Science subgroups of the Child Health Task Force, and participants in the 2018 Global Symposium on Health Systems Research.

Were stakeholders actively involved or did they just participate?

Stakeholders were mere participants of the research prioritization process; they were not actively involved in the process.