

A Method for Identifying Research Priorities for Health Systems Research on Health and Aging

Sivananthan & Chambers (2013)
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hcmf.2012.08.002>

For which topic were research priorities identified?

health services for older adults

In which location was the research priority setting conducted?

North America - Canada

Why was it conducted at all?

In Ontario, the Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care supports a coalition of seven research institute/centres on Health and Aging (ORC), to promote cross-institute/centre collaboration on health and aging research and knowledge exchange among researchers, care providers, and policy makers.

What was the objective?

to identify research topics that would have an influence on health services for older adults

What was the outcome?

a ranking list of 6 research topics

How long did the research prioritization take?

No information provided.

Which methods were used to identify research priorities?

Delphi

How were the priorities for research identified exactly?

Step 1: Delphi round 1: participants were asked to identify priority research topics that need to be addressed and strengths and weaknesses of each topic. Step 2: data analysis: resulting in 34 PICO statements. Step 3: Delphi round 2: participants were asked to rate each statement, participants were also asked to rank order top 3. Step 4: Delphi round 3: participants were asked to re-rate and re-rank statements

Which stakeholders took part?

Health and aging researchers, policymakers, caregivers. Delphi round 1: 33 participants. Delphi round 2: 29 participants. Delphi round 3: 32 participants.

How were stakeholders recruited?

No information provided.

Were stakeholders actively involved or did they just participate?

Stakeholders were mere participants of the research prioritization process; they were not actively involved in the process.