

A Global Research Agenda for Adolescents Living with HIV

Armstrong et al. (2018)

<https://doi.org/10.1097/QAI.0000000000001744>

For which topic were research priorities identified?

HIV

In which location was the research priority setting conducted?

international

Why was it conducted at all?

Despite growing interest in undertaking research in adolescent HIV, the current pace of interventional research in particular remains very low compared with the needs of adolescents living with HIV (ALHIV). More robust evidence is needed to inform innovative and targeted interventions that bridge research gaps, inform policy, and improve outcomes for adolescents.

What was the objective?

to focus efforts on priority research in the context of diminishing resources

What was the outcome?

a list of 15 research topics

How long did the research prioritization take?

No information provided.

Which methods were used to identify research priorities?

CHNRI approach

How were the priorities for research identified exactly?

Step 1: survey: participants were asked to submit research questions and to tag each question within the corresponding research area and the corresponding research domain, 986 research questions submitted. Step 2: data processing: data cleaning, thematic analysis, collated list of 61 questions. Step 3: survey: participants were asked to score each question along four criteria. Step 4: outcome reviewed by adolescent HIV expert resource group, group then identified the 5 priority themes emerging from the top 10 ranked research questions

Which stakeholders took part?

323 participants from 67 countries across all WHO regions.

How were stakeholders recruited?

No information provided.

Were stakeholders actively involved or did they just participate?

Stakeholders were mere participants of the research prioritization process; they were not actively involved in the process.